

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/769,967	02/02/2004		Michael S. Lebby	4189-PA31	9131
	29370	7590	09/29/2004		EXAM	INER
	ROBERT A 340 E. PALM		3	HEALY, BRIAN		
SUITE 260					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	PHOENIX, A	AZ 85004			2883	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/ح	Ź
V	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/769,967	LEBBY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian M. Healy	2883	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>2 and 4-6</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
<ul><li>Application Papers</li><li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir</li></ul>	oor		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 2004</u> is/a		objected to by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		Ŷ	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1439 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. None of the references of record teaches or suggests the limitations as is stated in claims 2 and 4-6 (see claims 2 and 4-6 for specific limitations).

Claims 7 and 8 are allowed over the prior art of record. None of the references of record teaches or suggests a pivot arm/latching mechanism to to move a transceiver into nesting engagement inside the housing of an optical module (See claims 7 and 8 for specific limitations.)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,967

Art Unit: 2883

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1 and 3 are are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chiu et. al., U.S. Patent No. 6,692,159.

Chiu et. al. teaches (Figs.1-40I) an actuator based latching/delatching mechanism for optical connectors comprising: a first structure 1100 including a front face with an opening and a second module/housing structure 2200 that is designed to connect optical fibers and optical transceivers with the second module structure designed to be nestingly engaged in the first structure and pivoting arm 2204 having a first and second surface and being pivotably mounted between the first and second structure and an actuator 2202 having latching and delatching orientations so that the actuator 2202 is designed to mate and latch with a first surface 2414 of the pivot arm on the application of a delatching force to the pivot arm by the actuator so that the second module structure is moved in relation to the first structure, which clearly, fully meets Applicant's claimed limitations.

The following references are also cited by the Examiner as being pertinent prior art: Distad et. al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. U.S. 2003/0171022A1 (Figs.1-15), Branch et. al., U.S.P. No. 6,485,322 (Figs.1-18) and Chiu et. al., U.S.P. No. 6,796,715 (Figs.1-401).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian M. Healy whose telephone number is (571)272-

Application/Control Number: 10/769,967

**Art Unit: 2883** 

2347. The examiner can normally be reached on Compressed Schedule Tues.- Thurs.

7AM-4:30PM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (571)272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian M. Healy Primary Examiner Art Unit 2883 Page 4

\*\*\*

Brian Healy Primary Examiner